




Health & Social Care
Information Centre

Quality and Outcomes Framework – Prevalence, Achievements and Exceptions Report

Frequently Asked Questions, 2013/14



Published 28 October 2014

**We are the trusted
national provider of
high-quality information,
data and IT systems for
health and social care.**

www.hscic.gov.uk

enquiries@hscic.gov.uk

 **@hscic**

This publication may be of interest to General Practitioners, members of the public and other stakeholders to make local and national comparisons and gain a picture of GP service provision and service quality for participating practices in England.

Author: Prescribing and Primary Care,
Health and Social Care Information Centre

Responsible statistician: Gemma Ramsay, Section Head

Version: V1.0

Date of publication: 28 October 2014

Contents

What is QOF?	5
Where does the data come from / what is CQRS?	5
What is in QOF? What are 'domains'?	5
How do CQRS / QOF data relate to GP practice payments?	6
What is in the latest QOF publication?	6
Where can I find QOF data for previous years?	7
Can I have QOF indicator information for years prior to 2004/05?	7
How is 2013/14 QOF different from previous years?	7
What are QOF business rules? Where can I find them?	8
What is QOF exception reporting?	9
Where can I find information on QOF exception reporting?	9
Why are exception reporting figures published by the Health and Social Care Information Centre (HSCIC) different from the figures in CQRS reports?	9
How many practices are in the QOF achievement data? Are all practices included?	10
Are Personal Medical Services (PMS) practices in the QOF dataset?	10
Do QOF achievement scores shown for PMS practices incorporate a PMS deduction?	10
What does 100 per cent achievement mean? What is 'underlying achievement (net of exceptions)'?	11
What is 'percentage of patients receiving the intervention'?	11
Are all practices supposed to reach, or try to reach, 100 per cent QOF achievement?	12
What is 'points achieved as a per cent of QOF points available'?	12
What disease prevalence information is available from QOF?	13
What prevalence figures are shown and how are they calculated?	13
What do smoking prevalence figures mean? How do I get a count of the numbers of patients who smoke?	14
Do prevalence figures differ from prevalence figures published elsewhere?	14
What practice list sizes are used in calculating prevalence rates?	14
Are there issues with prevalence for specific clinical areas?	14
What are the issues with the data for CKD?	15
Is it possible to obtain QOF prevalence information by age group? I understand that age-specific prevalence information is available.	15

Where can I find information about individual patients? How do I find out about patients with more than one disease?	15
Can I have figures for specific conditions from the Mental Health register, e.g. for schizophrenia, separately?	15
How do I know if practices had special circumstances that have affected QOF achievement?	15
Should I make a league table to show which practices provide the best care or the worst?	16
Can I re-use or publish the QOF data?	17
Where can I find information on QOF for Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland?	17
How can I obtain a list of practice names and addresses?	17
I have a problem with my practice's data on CQRS reports	17
I do not agree with the published QOF information for my practice	18
How do I complain about QOF indicators or suggest changes to the QOF?	18
Where can I find information on QOF payments to practices?	18

What is QOF?

The national Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) was introduced as part of the new General Medical Services (GMS) contract on 1 April 2004.

The QOF rewards practices for the provision of 'quality care' and helps to fund further improvements in the delivery of clinical care.

Participation by practices in the QOF is voluntary, though participation rates are very high, with most Personal Medical Services (PMS) practices also taking part.

Background and QOF guidance can be found on the NHS Employers' web site:

<http://www.nhsemployers.org/your-workforce/primary-care-contacts/general-medical-services/quality-and-outcomes-framework>

Information on QOF 2013/14 is available at:

<http://www.nhsemployers.org/your-workforce/primary-care-contacts/general-medical-services/quality-and-outcomes-framework/changes-to-qof-201314>

Where does the data come from / what is CQRS?

Previously, the Quality Management and Analysis System (QMAS) was used for the extraction of QOF data. In July 2013, QMAS was replaced by the Calculating Quality Reporting Service (CQRS), together with the General Practice Extraction Service (GPES).

Information in QOF 2013/14 was derived from the CQRS together with the GPES, national systems developed by the HSCIC. CQRS uses data from general practices to calculate their QOF achievement.

What is in QOF? What are 'domains'?

The QOF has five main components, known as domains. Each domain consists of a set of measures of achievement, known as indicators, against which practices score points according to their level of achievement.

The five domains are:

- Clinical
- Public Health
- Public Health – Additional Services
- Quality and Productivity
- Patient Experience

QOF indicators are described in detail in the 2013/14 detailed guidance document available on the NHS Employers website:

<http://www.nhsemployers.org/your-workforce/primary-care-contacts/general-medical-services/quality-and-outcomes-framework/changes-to-qof-201314>

The following is a summary of the QOF domains in 2013/14:

Domain	Condition / Measure	Number of Indicators	Points Available	QOF Group
Clinical	AST Asthma	4	45	Respiratory
	AF Atrial fibrillation	4	27	Cardiovascular
	CAN Cancer	2	11	High dependency and other long term conditions
	CHD Secondary prevention of coronary heart disease	6	62	Cardiovascular
	CKD Chronic kidney disease 18+	4	32	High dependency and other long term conditions
	COPD Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)	6	35	Respiratory
	DEM Dementia	3	26	Mental health and neurology
	DEP Depression 18+	2	31	Mental health and neurology
	DM Diabetes mellitus 17+	16	107	High dependency and other long term conditions
	EP Epilepsy 18+	3	10	Mental health and neurology
	HF Heart failure	4	29	Cardiovascular
	HYP Hypertension	5	77	Cardiovascular
	LD Learning disabilities 18+	2	7	Mental health and neurology
	MH Mental health	10	40	Mental health and neurology
	OST Osteoporosis: secondary prevention of fragility fractures 50+	3	9	Musculoskeletal
	PC Palliative care	2	6	High dependency and other long term conditions
	PAD Peripheral arterial disease	4	9	Cardiovascular
RA Rheumatoid arthritis 16+	4	18	Musculoskeletal	
STIA Stroke and transient ischaemic attack	7	22	Cardiovascular	
THY Hypothyroidism	2	7	High dependency and other long term conditions	
Clinical Total		93	610	
Public Health	BP Blood pressure 40+	1	15	Cardiovascular
	CVD-PP Cardiovascular disease - primary prevention	2	15	Cardiovascular
	OB Obesity 16+	1	8	Lifestyle
Public Health Total		9	113	
Public Health - Additional Services	CHS Child health surveillance	1	6	Fertility, obstetrics & gynaecology
	CON Contraception <55	3	10	Fertility, obstetrics & gynaecology
	CS Cervical screening 25-64	4	22	Fertility, obstetrics & gynaecology
	MAT Maternity services	1	6	Fertility, obstetrics & gynaecology
Public Health - Additional Services Total		9	44	
Quality and Productivity		9	100	
Patient Experience		1	33	
Total		121	900	

For 2013-14, after public consultation, it was decided to group the conditions/measures into related areas to aid public use of the QOF data.

How do CQRS / QOF data relate to GP practice payments?

Through the QOF, general practices are rewarded financially for aspects of the quality of care they provide. CQRS ensures consistency in the calculation of quality achievement and disease prevalence, and is linked to payment systems.

This means that payment rules underpinning the new GMS contract are implemented consistently across all systems and all practices in England.

For 2013/14 practices were paid, on average, £156.92 for each point they achieved.

Users of data derived from CQRS should recognise that CQRS was established as a mechanism to support the calculation of practice QOF payments. QOF does not provide a comprehensive source of data on quality of care in general practice, but it is potentially a rich and valuable source of such information, providing the limitations of the data are acknowledged.

What is in the latest QOF publication?

The information published by the HSCIC relates to general practices in England.

The latest available information is for 2013/14, and is based on data for the period April 2013 to March 2014. The data were extracted from the national CQRS system on 25 July and incorporate any changes made up to 24 July 2014.

This publication covers three types of data for England: data relating to disease prevalence, QOF achievement and exception reporting.

The 2013/14 QOF publication consists of:

- A statistical report
- Annexes to support the main report
- A set of spreadsheets of QOF data at four levels:
 - NHS England Region and National
 - NHS England Area Team
 - NHS England CCG
 - GP practice level
- A frequently asked questions (FAQ) document
- A spreadsheet of all indicator definitions and their associated points
- A spreadsheet showing comments made by Area Team staff during the validation process
- An online database that allows searches for individual practices, and which presents QOF results graphically
- csv files of the raw data

Where can I find QOF data for previous years?

On the HSCIC web site you can find QOF information for the years 2004/05 to 2012/13:

<http://www.hscic.gov.uk/qof>

Can I have QOF indicator information for years prior to 2004/05?

The Quality and Outcomes Framework was introduced in 2004/05. No QOF indicator information is available for previous years.

How is 2013/14 QOF different from previous years?

The QOF was introduced in 2004/05, with an indicator set that remained the same in 2005/06. In 2004/05 and 2005/06 practices were able to achieve a maximum QOF score of 1,050 points.

From April 2006 a revised QOF was introduced, including new clinical areas and revising some clinical indicators. The revised QOF continued to measure achievement against a set of evidence-based indicators, but allowed a possible maximum score of 1,000 points.

Some changes were made at the start of 2008/09, with the most significant change being the introduction of two new indicators within the Patient Experience domain. The new indicators, PE7 and PE8, are derived from the results of the national GP Patient Survey, and reward practices for providing 48 hour appointments (PE7) and advanced booking (PE8). These two new indicators are worth a total of 58.5 QOF points, and their introduction coincided with the removal of some indicators (or points associated with indicators), so that the maximum QOF score remained at 1,000 points.

Further changes to the QOF were made at the start of 2009/10, and remained in force in 2011/12. These included the introduction of new indicators in the existing heart failure, chronic kidney disease, depression and diabetes clinical indicator sets; the introduction of

two new indicators under a new cardio-vascular disease (primary prevention) clinical indicator set; the removal of some patient experience indicators; changes to contraception indicators within the Additional Services domain of the QOF; and various changes to the points values of some QOF indicators. Overall, the maximum QOF score remained at 1,000 points.

Changes to the QOF at the start of 2011/12 included the introduction of new indicators in the epilepsy, learning disability and dementia clinical indicator sets; the introduction of a new set of indicators measuring quality and productivity, 12 indicators across a range of sets were retired, 22 indicators were replaced, either due to changes to indicator wording or coding/business logic changes, five indicators had changes to point values or thresholds. Overall, the maximum QOF score remained at 1,000 points

Changes to the QOF at the start of 2012/13 included the retirement of seven indicators (including five from the Quality and Productivity area), releasing 45 points to fund new and replacement indicators; the introduction of nine new NICE recommended clinical indicators, including two new clinical areas (PAD and Osteoporosis) and additional smoking indicators; the introduction of three new organisational indicators for improving Quality and Productivity which focus on accident and emergency attendances; sixteen other indicators were replaced, either due to changes to indicator wording or coding/business logic changes or to changes to point values or thresholds. Overall, the maximum QOF score remained at 1,000 points.

Changes to the QOF at the start of 2013/14 included a reduction in the maximum number of points available to 900 (1,000 in the previous year), the indicator codes have all been reset and re-ordered, starting with 001 for each set of indicators to reflect the flow of processes, retirement of the organisational domain, 38 of the old indicators have been retired, largely from the organisational domain, introduction of a new public health domain (including a subset of additional services indicators), with some existing indicators reallocated to this new domain, introduction of a new public health measure: blood pressure, introduction of a new clinical condition: rheumatoid arthritis, 12 new indicators have been introduced, 13 indicators have been replaced, changes to the wording where necessary, predominantly changing from 'practice' to 'contractor', removal of the current end-of-year overlap for most indicators by changing the indicator timeframe from 15 to 12 months or 27 to 24 months.

All the changes to the QOF business rules and indicators for 2013-14 can be found via this external link to the NHS Employers website: [Summary of changes to QOF indicators 2013-14¹](#)

What are QOF business rules? Where can I find them?

QOF data are captured from GP practice systems according to coded 'business rules', produced by the HSCIC. The business rules are reviewed twice each year to take account of new clinical codes. QOF business rules are published on HSCIC web site:

<http://www.hscic.gov.uk/qofesextractspecs>

Earlier versions of QOF business rules are available on the Primary Care Commissioning web site:

<http://www.pcc-cic.org.uk/search/site/QoF%20business%20rules>

¹ <http://www.nhsemployers.org/~media/Employers/Documents/Primary%20care%20contracts/QOF/2013-14/Summary%20of%20QOF%20changes%20for%202013-14%20-%20England%20only.pdf>

Guidance for the QOF, which is revised every year to reflect the indicators measured as specified by [NICE \(National Institute for Health and Care Excellence\)](#)² for 2013-14 can be found on the NHS Employers web site:

<http://www.nhsemployers.org/case-studies-and-resources/2013/04/201314-general-medical-services-gms-contract---guidance-and-audit-requirements-for-new-and-amended-services>

What is QOF exception reporting?

Patients on a specific clinical register can be excluded from individual QOF indicators if a patient is unsuitable for treatment, is newly registered with the practice, is newly diagnosed with a condition, or in the event of informed dissent.

'Exception reporting' refers to the potential removal of individual patients from calculations of practice achievement for specific clinical indicators.

Some exception reporting is applied automatically by the IT system, for example in respect of patients who are recently registered with a practice, or who are recently diagnosed with a condition. Other exception reporting is based on information entered into the clinical system by the GP. Practices may 'exception-report' (i.e. omit) specific patients from data collected to calculate QOF achievement scores within clinical areas. The GMS contract sets out valid exception reporting criteria.

Where can I find information on QOF exception reporting?

Exception reporting information as part of the QOF publication is available in the main report on page 23 at:

<http://www.hscic.gov.uk/qof>

Why are exception reporting figures published by the Health and Social Care Information Centre (HSCIC) different from the figures in CQRS reports?

CQRS presents counts of exception-reported patients, which roughly equates to the number of people on a disease register who are not included in an indicator denominator.

For the HSCIC QOF publication, there is a distinction between patients who are actually exception-reported, and those whose non-inclusion in an indicator denominator is for definitional reasons.

Definitional 'exclusions' are treated as exception reporting by CQRS, and the 'excluded' patients are shown in exception reporting counts. CQRS does this because it is primarily a system to support payments, and its function in respect of exception reporting is to ensure the right patients are not included in indicator denominators.

To give an example, CHD004: The percentage of patients with coronary heart disease who have had influenza immunisation in the preceding 1 September to 31 March

If the CHD register is 100, and if only 10 of those patients meet the indicator criteria, and if two of those patients are subject to actual exception reporting, then the relevant figures would be:

² <http://www.nice.org.uk/>

CHD Register = 100

CHD004 Denominator = 8

CHD Exception Count = 2

CHD Definitional Exclusions = 90

However, CQRS would show this as 92 exception-reported patients because there is no concept of exclusions within CQRS – they are all exceptions.

For publication the HSCIC looked at the underlying exception reporting ID codes within the CQRS tables, and assigned the notion of 'definitional exclusions' to some codes. These are not included in our published exception counts and rates.

Published exception reporting figures therefore do not include counts of definitional exclusions, since these cannot make up part of the indicator denominator.

How many practices are in the QOF achievement data? Are all practices included?

QOF achievement for 2013/14 was published for 7,921 general practices in England. These practices made an end-of-year submission to CQRS. QOF achievement figures include data automatically extracted from general practice systems by the CQRS system in March 2014, and data adjustments for the year 2013/14 submitted between April and July 2014.

The sum of the practice list sizes for the practices included in the QOF publication represents over 99 per cent of registered patients in England (based on registration data from the ePACT system of the Prescription Pricing Division of the NHS Business Services Authority, January to March 2014).

Are Personal Medical Services (PMS) practices in the QOF dataset?

Personal Medical Services (PMS) practices are able to negotiate local contracts with their Area Teams for the provision of all services. PMS practices may also participate in the QOF, and they may either follow the national QOF framework or enter into local QOF arrangements.

PMS practices with local contractual arrangements are included in the published 2013/14 QOF information.

Do QOF achievement scores shown for PMS practices incorporate a PMS deduction?

Where PMS practices use the national QOF, their 2013/14 achievement (in terms of the 900 QOF points available) is subject to a deduction of approximately 100 points before QOF points are turned into QOF payments. This is because many PMS practices already have a chronic disease management allowance, a sustained quality allowance and a cervical cytology payment included in their baseline payments. (GMS practices do not receive such payments, but receive similar payments through the QOF). To ensure comparability between GMS and PMS practices, the QOF deduction for PMS practices ensures that they do not receive the same payments twice. Because this publication covers QOF achievement and not payments, all QOF achievement shown is based on QOF points prior to PMS

deductions. This is to allow comparability in levels of achievement – so that where GMS and PMS practices have maximum QOF achievement, both are regarded as having achieved the maximum 900 points.

What does 100 per cent achievement mean? What is ‘underlying achievement (net of exceptions)’?

Reference to 100 per cent achievement often refers to the percentage of available QOF points achieved. So if a practice achieves the full 900 QOF points it has achieved 100 per cent of the points available and may be said to have 100 per cent achievement across the whole QOF.

The level of achievement for certain elements of the QOF can be expressed in the same way. A practice achieving all 610 clinical QOF points available can be said to have 100 per cent clinical achievement even though it may not have 100 per cent achievement overall.

Practices achieve the maximum QOF points for most indicators (especially clinical indicators) when they have delivered the maximum threshold to achieve the points available. For many indicators a practice must provide a certain level of clinical care to 90 per cent of patients on a particular clinical register to achieve the maximum points.

It can therefore deliver the required care to fewer than 100 per cent of its patients (90 per cent in this case) to achieve the full (100 per cent) points available. Therefore there is an important distinction between percentage achievement in terms of QOF points available and the **underlying achievement (net of exceptions)** for specific indicators, the latter representing the indicator numerator as a percentage of the denominator.

What is ‘percentage of patients receiving the intervention’?

Underlying achievement (net of exceptions) does not account for all patients covered by indicator, as it takes no account of “exceptions” (patients to whom the indicator applies, but who are not included in the indicator denominator according to agreed exception criteria). **Percentage of patients receiving the intervention**, gives a more accurate indication of the rate of the provision of interventions as the denominator for this measure covers all patients to whom the indicator applies, regardless of exception status (i.e. indicator exceptions and indicator denominator). This measure is calculated as follows;

$$\text{Percent of patients receiving intervention} = \frac{\text{Indicator Numerator}}{(\text{Indicator Exceptions} + \text{Indicator Denominator})}$$

As an example; a practice has 100 patients on the CHD register, and 10 patients are exception reported from CHD003, making a denominator for CHD003 of 90 patients. The practice delivers the CHD003 intervention to 80 patients. The difference in figures for **underlying achievement (net of exceptions)** and **percent of patients receiving the intervention** is seen below.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Underlying achievement (net of exceptions)} &= (\text{Numerator} / \text{Denominator}) \times 100 \\ &= (80 / 90) \times 100 = 88.9 \text{ per cent} \end{aligned}$$

Percent of patients receiving intervention = (Numerator / (Exceptions + Denominator)) x 100
= (80 / (10 + 90)) x 100 = 80.0 per cent

Are all practices supposed to reach, or try to reach, 100 per cent QOF achievement?

Not necessarily. The achievement of full points may not be possible or desirable for some practices. Participation in the QOF is voluntary, and practices may aspire to achieve all, some, or none of the points available. It is important to note that for some practices it may be impossible to achieve all of the points available in the QOF.

For example, some clinical indicators relate to specific subgroups of patients, and if the practice does not have any such patients it cannot score points against the relevant indicators. A practice that exclusively serves a student population, for instance, may not have patients on some of the clinical registers that are covered by the QOF, and although its QOF points total would be less than 900 (or 100 per cent), it may be providing all the appropriate care in respect of the clinical registers that it does hold.

In addition, practices with personal medical services contracts may include quality and outcomes as part of their locally negotiated agreements, and in may opt to use part or all of the new GMS QOF as a measurement tool. This is an extremely important consideration when undertaking any comparative analysis of QOF achievement.

What is 'points achieved as a per cent of QOF points available'?

In recognition of the fact that it is not always possible for practices to achieve all of the points in the QOF, the HSCIC has produced a further measure of practice achievement. This measure takes account of instances where practices cannot achieve points because they have no patients pertinent to an indicator.

For example, in 2013/14 there were 900 points available in the QOF. 77 of these points were allocated to Hypertension indicators. If a practice does not have any patients on their Hypertension register (i.e. no patients meeting the QOF definition for established hypertension), then they would be unable to achieve any of the points allocated to the Hypertension indicators. Therefore, even if the practice achieved all the other points available they would only be able to reach 91.4 per cent points achievement (823 points achieved / 900 points available).

In these circumstances, the standard 'points achievement' measure can be misrepresentative and may result in a practice's achievement apparently declining from one year to the next where they have patients on a register in one year but none in the next year.

To represent practice points achievement more fairly, the HSCIC calculates adjusted maximum points achievable for each practice, effectively removing points from the calculation denominator where **both** of the following conditions apply:

- the practice does not have any patients in the indicator denominator.
- the practice has reported no exceptions for the indicator denominator

In essence, the indicator denominator plus indicator exceptions must equal zero. This ensures we are not adjusting maximum points achievable where there are patients on the relevant disease register (exceptions are included in the disease register, but not in the relevant denominator), who have not received the interventions.

For the example outlined previously, for a practice with no patients on their hypertension register the practices maximum **points available** would be 823 (900 points minus the 'unachievable' 77 Hypertension points). In this case, the difference between the practices '**points achievement**' and '**points achieved as a per cent of QOF points available**' would be as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Points achievement} &= (\text{Points achievement} / \text{All QOF points}) \times 100 \\ &= (823 / 900) \times 100 = 91.4 \text{ per cent}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Points achieved as per cent of points available} &= (\text{Point achievement} / \text{QOF points available}) \times 100 \\ &= (823 / 823) \times 100 = 100 \text{ per cent}\end{aligned}$$

Due to the complexities of calculating and presenting the '**points achieved as a per cent of QOF points available**' figures, we only provide these figures for total points, not for any domain or group totals.

What disease prevalence information is available from QOF?

Prevalence information for 2013/14 is presented in the publication for the 7,921 practices that were in the QOF achievement dataset. For the 20 areas of the clinical domain, CQRS captures the number of patients on the clinical register for each practice.

The number of patients on the clinical registers can be used to calculate measures of disease prevalence, expressing the number of patients on each register as a percentage of the number of patients on practices' lists. (But see the next FAQ.)

What prevalence figures are shown and how are they calculated?

The clinical registers used to calculate prevalence were those submitted to CQRS at the same time as achievement submissions (i.e. end of year submissions). Prior to 2009, 'National Prevalence Day' was 14 February. From 2009 onwards, 'National Prevalence Day' was moved to 31 March, so for the purpose of prevalence adjustments to QOF payments, prevalence is calculated on the same basis as disease registers for indicator denominators.

Seven clinical areas within the QOF (chronic kidney disease (CKD), diabetes mellitus (DM), depression (DEP), epilepsy (EP), learning disabilities (LD), osteoporosis (OST) and rheumatoid arthritis (RA)) are based on clinical registers that relate to specific age groups:

- Rheumatoid arthritis registers are based on patients aged 16 and over.
- Diabetes mellitus registers are based on patients aged 17 and over.
- Chronic kidney disease, depression, epilepsy and learning disabilities registers are based on patients aged 18 and over.
- Osteoporosis registers are based on patients aged 50 and over
- Obesity registers, within the public health domain, are based on patients aged 16 and over.

For 2013/14 the HSCIC has produced prevalence rates for all of these conditions based on appropriate age-banded list size information. For example, diabetes registers were expressed as a percentage of patients on practices lists who are aged 17 and over. These were produced to help researchers or information users who require more precise prevalence rates for these seven clinical areas and the public health area.

What do smoking prevalence figures mean? How do I get a count of the numbers of patients who smoke?

The register underpinning the QOF smoking indicators is not a register, or count, of people who smoke. QOF provides no information on numbers of smokers and non-smokers. Indicator SMOK001 counts the number of patients aged 15+ whose notes record smoking status in the preceding 24 months.

Do prevalence figures differ from prevalence figures published elsewhere?

Differences may occur because QOF registers do not necessarily equate to prevalence, as may be defined by epidemiologists. For example, recorded prevalence figures based on QOF registers may differ from prevalence figures from other sources because of coding or definitional issues.

Care should be taken to understand definitional differences, for example when comparing QOF prevalence with expected prevalence rates using public health models.

The QOF only provides recorded prevalence; it does not allude to or report on expected prevalence or estimated prevalence. Nor does it forecast future prevalence rates, as definitions for the QOF registers and their associated indicators are always subject to change. For example, to be on the QOF obesity register this year, patients need to be aged 16 or over, and have a body mass index greater than or equal to 30 recorded in the previous 12 months.

What practice list sizes are used in calculating prevalence rates?

The 2013/14 QOF information published by the HSCIC includes practice list sizes supplied to CQRS from Systems and Service Delivery (SSD), the national general practice payments system. These figures are used in CQRS for list size adjustments in QOF payment calculations. In the context of this publication, these list sizes are used as the basis for the calculation of raw clinical prevalence.

Are there issues with prevalence for specific clinical areas?

Other factors in interpreting information on specific registers include the following:

Some clinical areas have 'resolution codes' to reflect the nature of diseases. Others, such as the cancer register, do not.

To be on the asthma register, patients need a diagnosis of asthma and a prescription for an asthma drug within the year.

Many patients are likely to suffer from co-morbidity, i.e. diagnosed with more than one of the clinical conditions included in the QOF clinical domain. Robust analysis of co-morbidity is not possible using QOF data because QOF information is collected at an aggregate level for each practice and each QOF register is independent of all other QOF registers; there is no patient-specific data within CQRS. For example, CQRS captures aggregated information for each practice on patients with coronary heart disease and on patients with diabetes, but it is not possible to identify or analyse patients with both of these diseases.

What are the issues with the data for CKD?

Within this data set (chronic kidney disease) we believe there has been a coding issue around episodes, which has led to under-reporting of this condition at the extraction stage. We are aware a fix has been put in place, but this will not take effect in QOF until the 2014-15 data is extracted; next year when we report on 2014-15, this should no longer be an issue.

We have provided the detailed data in the spreadsheets for reference only; we would recommend against using these figures in any other official publication or basing any decisions on these figures.

Is it possible to obtain QOF prevalence information by age group? I understand that age-specific prevalence information is available.

We do not have age-specific prevalence data from QOF. QOF registers are not broken down by age.

Reference to 'age-specific prevalence' relates to those QOF clinical areas where QOF registers exclude certain ages, and where the HSCIC calculated an alternative prevalence rate to exclude people on practice registers from the denominator for prevalence rates.

For example, QOF diabetes registers relate to ages 17+ only. So an alternative 'age-specific' prevalence rate was calculated, based on the numbers of people on practice registers who are aged 17+.

Where can I find information about individual patients? How do I find out about patients with more than one disease?

There is no patient-specific data in CQRS because this is not required to support the QOF. For example, CQRS captures aggregate information for each practice on patients with coronary heart disease and on patients with diabetes, but it is not possible to identify or analyse information on individual patients.

It is not possible, for example, to identify the number of patients with both of these diseases.

Can I have figures for specific conditions from the Mental Health register, e.g. for schizophrenia, separately?

No. The QOF mental health register is a count, for each GP practice, of the total number of people "with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and other psychoses". The information is not captured from GP systems at any lower level of aggregation. The data are captured according to this definition to support QOF payments, and the data capture is designed only to meet payment requirements.

How do I know if practices had special circumstances that have affected QOF achievement?

During August 2014, the HSCIC consulted with Area Teams (AT) on the local QOF achievement information contained in the 25th July 2014 extract of 2013/14 CQRS data.

ATs were asked to confirm that the extract contained all their practices. ATs were also invited to provide commentary on their practices' overall QOF achievement, as contained in the CQRS extract. Such commentary was invited because QOF achievement for some practices had not been approved for payment (i.e. was still subject to local sign-off) at the time of the CQRS extract (end of June 2014).

For some practices in England data annotations were provided by ATs to support the published QOF achievement information. Such notes generally referred to:

- Adjustments to QOF achievement that were agreed locally after the date of the CQRS extract for publication (i.e. after the 25th July 2014).
- Notes on practices where QOF achievement remained subject to local review or appeal.
- Notes on practices providing specialist services, such as practices that served university populations or asylum seeker populations.
- Notes on practices signing up to a local enhanced service.

All notes on practice achievement provided by ATs are presented alongside practice-level QOF achievement data on the 2013/14 Online Practice Results Database, and in published spreadsheets of domain level achievement.

In addition, all ATs wished to emphasise that for PMS practices the published QOF achievement figures refer to QOF points achieved prior to the application of PMS deductions. This is because the published information covers QOF achievement, not QOF payments, and therefore it was decided that where GMS and PMS practices have maximum QOF achievement (for example), both will be shown as having achieved 900 QOF points.

Some AT-specific notes were also received about practice codes that are not included in the QOF publication, for example about practices that participated in the QOF but did not use QMAS, or practice codes that did not participate in QOF.

Should I make a league table to show which practices provide the best care or the worst?

Levels of QOF achievement will be related to a variety of local circumstances, and should be interpreted in the context of those circumstances. Users of the published QOF data should be particularly careful in undertaking comparative analysis. The following points have been raised by local healthcare organisations in consultation with the Information Centre:

The ranking of practices on the basis of QOF points achieved, either overall or with respect to areas within the QOF, may be inappropriate. QOF points do not reflect practice workload issues, (for example around list sizes and disease prevalence), that is why practices' QOF payments include adjustments for such factors.

Comparative analysis of QOF achievement, or prevalence, may also be inappropriate without taking account of the underlying social and demographic characteristics of the populations concerned. The delivery of services may be related, for example, to population age/sex, ethnicity or deprivation characteristics that are not included in QOF data collection processes.

Information on QOF achievement, as represented by QOF points, should also be interpreted with respect to local circumstances around general practice infrastructure. In undertaking comparative or explanatory analysis, users of the data should be aware of any effect of the

numbers of partners (including single handed practices), local recruitment and staffing issues, issues around practice premises, and local IT issues.

Users of the data should be aware that different types of practice may serve different communities. Comparative analysis should therefore take account of local circumstances, such as numbers on practice lists of student populations, drug users, homeless populations and asylum seekers.

Analysis of co-morbidity (patients with more than one disease) is not possible using QOF data. QOF information is collected at an aggregate level for each practice. There is no patient-specific data within CQRS. For example, CQRS captures aggregated information for each practice on patients with coronary heart disease and on patients with asthma, but it is not possible to identify or analyse patients with both of these diseases.

Underlying all this is the fact that the information held within CQRS, and the source for the published tables, is dependent on diagnosis and recording within practices using practices' clinical information systems.

Can I re-use or publish the QOF data?

This information has been produced by the HSCIC. If you wish to re-use and/or publish this data independently, please refer in the first instance to the standard terms and conditions at <http://www.hscic.gov.uk/terms-and-conditions>.

Where can I find information on QOF for Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland?

Scotland:

<http://www.isdscotland.org/Health-Topics/General-Practice/Quality-And-Outcomes-Framework/>

Wales:

<http://wales.gov.uk/statistics-and-research/general-medical-services-contract/?lang=en>

Northern Ireland:

http://www.dhsspsni.gov.uk/index/hss/gp_contracts/gp_contract_qof.htm

How can I obtain a list of practice names and addresses?

NHS organisation codes are managed by the Organisation Data Service (ODS):

<http://systems.hscic.gov.uk/data/ods>

I have a problem with my practice's data on CQRS reports

The QOF publication team has no role in the management of CQRS.

Any issues with CQRS or GPES systems should be referred to cqrservicesdesk@gdit.com (for CQRS) and enquiries@hscic.gov.uk (for GPES).

I do not agree with the published QOF information for my practice

The HSCIC's annual QOF publication is based on an extract from CQRS, taken on the 25th July 2014, and relates to the period April 2013 to March 2014. Notes accompanying the publication clearly state this.

Before publication the HSCIC undertook a consultation exercise with all Area Teams to confirm that the total QOF points to be published were as held on CQRS as at the 25th July. The exercise also allows ATs to notify the HSCIC of issues or circumstances relevant to practice achievement, including adjustments to QOF achievement (for whatever reason).

Relevant comments from ATs are shown alongside each practice on the Practice Domain Summary spreadsheet in the latest QOF publication, and are also shown on the practice screens on the QOF online database.

How do I complain about QOF indicators or suggest changes to the QOF?

The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) has responsibility for recommending QOF indicators (including changes); this work is undertaken in the context of the development by NICE of Quality Standards. To find out more visit:

<http://www.nice.org.uk/get-involved/meetings-in-public/qof-advisory-committee>

Where can I find information on QOF payments to practices?

The HSCIC does not publish data on QOF payments. For information on QOF payments it would be necessary to contact the relevant AT.

The QOF publication (showing QOF points achievement, etc., but not payments) is based on an extract of data from the national CQRS system, taken on the 25th July, but relating to the previous financial year (April to March).

However, many practices / ATs continue to review QOF achievement (and therefore payments) after the end of July, and any such amendments to achievement would not be included in our publication database. The reason for not publishing financial information 'as at end of July' is that this would not always be a robust presentation of final payments, where payments are not agreed until after July.

**Published by the Health and Social Care Information Centre
Part of the Government Statistical Service**

Responsible Statistician

Gemma Ramsay, Section Head

ISBN 978-1-78386-223-8

This publication may be requested in large print or other formats.

For further information

www.hscic.gov.uk

0300 303 5678

enquiries@hscic.gov.uk

Copyright © 2014 Health and Social Care Information Centre. All rights reserved.

This work remains the sole and exclusive property of the Health and Social Care Information Centre and may only be reproduced where there is explicit reference to the ownership of the Health and Social Care Information Centre.

This work may be re-used by NHS and government organisations without permission.